

REMARKS

Claims 1-34 are currently pending in the application. Reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims are respectfully requested in light of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 5, 19, and 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicants regard as the invention. In response, Applicants have amended the claims to change “module may create” to “module creates”, thereby obviating the indefiniteness problem. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-10, 12-13, 15-23, and 25-34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0091798 to Joshi et al. (hereinafter “Joshi”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for the following reasons.

The PTO provides in MPEP § 2131 that “[t]o anticipate a claim, the reference must teach every element of the claim” With regard to independent claims 1, 16, and 26, Applicants submit that Joshi fails to teach every element of those claims.

In particular, Joshi fails to teach at least “an information sharing module for creating a transitory link to the confidential information in response to a user being authorized to access the confidential information” and “a transitory link maintenance module for maintaining the transitory link and selectively removing the transitory link from the system” as recited in independent claim 1.

The Examiner cites paragraph 0124 of Joshi as teaching the “information sharing module” element. The cited passage of Joshi is reproduced below in its entirety for ease of reference.

[0124] Event manager 514 calls redirection event handler 504, resource protected event handler 508, authentication event handler 512, or authorization event handler 516 to perform redirection, a resource protected method, an authentication method, or an authorization method (all further described herein), respectively. Redirection event handler 504 redirects browser 12 or 14 in response to redirection events initiated by Access Server 34 or other components of Web Gate 28. Resource protected event handler 508 performs steps in a method for determining whether a requested resource falls protected within a policy domain. Authentication event handler 512 performs steps in a method for authenticating a user of browser 12 or 14 upon a finding that a

requested resource is protected. Authorization event handler 516 performs steps in a method for determining whether a user of browser 12 or 14 is authorized to access a requested resource upon a successful authentication or receipt of a valid authentication cookie, further described herein. Sync record table 518 identifies all existing synchronization records not yet processed by Web Gate 28 as further described herein.

Accordingly, while paragraph 0124 of Joshi teaches determining whether a user is authorized to access a particular resource, such as a database, the passage is totally devoid of any teaching of “creating a transitory link to . . . confidential information [stored in the database] in response to a user being authorized to access the confidential information”, as required by claim 1.

Additionally, the Examiner cites paragraph 0148 of Joshi as teaching the “transitory link maintenance module” element. The cited passage of Joshi is reproduced below in its entirety for ease of reference.

[0148] Once authenticated, a user can explicitly log out, causing authentication cookies cached (or otherwise stored) by the user's browser to be destroyed or become invalid. Authentication cookies can also be set by an administrator to be destroyed after a maximum idle time has elapsed between requests to resources protected in accordance with the present invention.

Paragraph 0148 is devoid of any teaching or suggestion of “selectively removing the transitory link from the system” as required by claim 1, thereby to prevent the user from further access to the confidential information.

In view of the foregoing, it is apparent that Joshi fails to anticipate claim 1 for failure to teach every element thereof. Claims 16 and 26 include limitations similar to those of claim 1 and are therefore also not anticipated by Joshi. Claims 2-10, 12, 13, 15, 17-23, 25, and 27-34 depend from and further limit claims 1, 16, and 26 and are therefore also not anticipated by Joshi.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 11, 14, and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Joshi in view of Official Notice. In response, Applicants submit that, because claims 11, 14, and 24 depend from and further limit claims 1 and 16, the claims are allowable over the cited references for at least the same reasons set forth above with reference to claims 1 and 16. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Conclusions

For at least the reasons set forth in detail above, all of the pending claims are now deemed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the pending rejections and issue a formal notice of allowance.

Respectfully submitted,


Brandi W. Sarlatis
Registration No. 37,713


Dated: 12/18/06

HAYNES AND BOONE, L.L.P.
901 Main Street, Suite 3100
Dallas, Texas 75202-3789
Telephone: 214/651-5896
Facsimile: 214/200-0853
Client Matter No.: 2003-0420 / 24061.85

D-1477348_2 DOC

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed with
the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via EFS-Web on
12-18-06


Bonnie Boyle